Jewel Journal of Scientific Research (JJSR) 7(1): 124–140, 2022 ©Federal University of Kashere-Nigeria (Print ISSN: 2384 – 6267, Online ISSN 2756-651X) jjsr.fukashere.edu.ng



## Diversity of Ochratoxin A in Ready-To-Eat Foods in Nigeria

## Abdullahi, A.<sup>1</sup>; Muhammad, R. G.<sup>2</sup>; Mohammed, J. N.<sup>2\*</sup>; Mohammed A.<sup>2</sup> and Muhammad, I. L.<sup>2</sup>.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biological Sciences, The Federal Polytechnic Bida. <sup>2</sup>Department of Microbiology, Faculty of Natural sciences, Ibrahim Badamasi Babangida University, PMB 11, Lapai, Nigeria. \*Corresponding author: ndejiko@ibbu.edu.ng; +2347067855089

#### Abstract

Grains or cereals have been proven to be fed on world-wide either directly or processed into readyto-eat foods and have been confirmed to be contaminated with toxins including ochratoxin A (OTA). Ochratoxins are assemblages of mycotoxins formed by few *Aspergillus* species (especially *A. ochraceus* and *A. carbonarius*, however additionally via 33% of *A. niger* commercial strains) and few *Penicillium* species, particularly *P. verrucosum* as storage species. Several investigations showed that Ochratoxin A can cause human and animal health disorder while infected plant and animal merchandise are being expended into the system. Several research have linked OTA with human illnesses such as Chronic Interstitial Nephropathy (CIN), Balkan Endemic Nephropathy (BEN), and renal illness. This review focused on the condition for formation of OTA in distinctive commodities, effects of Ochratoxin A on animal health, human fitness, food and feeds, detection of Ochratoxin A, Ochratoxin A producers and problems related to mycotoxin studies in Nigeria. Recommendations on viable control techniques to reduce trading of mycotoxin meal and feeds were made including proper agricultural practices and proper storage centers, designing of common mycoflora and mycotoxin surveys. There is need to sensitize the public on diseases and mycotoxins associated with ready to eat foods.

Keywords: Cereals, mycotoxins, Ochratoxin A, A. carbonarius, A. ochraceus, P. verrucosum.

Received: 20 <sup>th</sup> Mar, 2022	Accepted: 21 <sup>st</sup> April, 2022	Published Online: 10th June, 2022
	,,	

#### Introduction

Mycotoxin infection of food and feeds is an important concern in sub-Sahara nations of Africa, especially Nigeria. It consists of an important restriction boundary to the fitness of man, animals in addition to the global market. Fumonisins, aflatoxins, ochratoxin, beauvericin, deoxynivalenol and zearalenone are examples of mycotoxins. The condition of animals and human's sickness is one of the widespread concerns of the entire planet that has been disturbed through diverse forms of ailments brought about by fungi and their toxins. Hassan *et al.* (2012) and Hassan *et al.* (2014) indicates that association between the environmental elements, mycotoxicosis and mycosis in animals and the role of these environmental elements brings about foodborne infections. Distinctive groups of secondary metabolites that are mainly related with food are: aflatoxins, ochratoxins. trichothecene (nivalenol, deoxynivalenol), fumonisins and zearalenone are the vital mycotoxins known in the abnormal conditions of food security implications that

triggered numerous ranges of illnesses (Hassan *et al.*, 2012).

Mycotoxins are minor fungal metabolites that are toxic and subsequently seen as contaminants in feed and meal with impacts on human and livestock's fitness when consumed. Abass et al. (2017) investigation showed that they are known as fungal secondary metabolites which could manifest in kinds of feedstuff or food at the stage of the germination period, at the period of harvest, or during storage or production relying on the surroundings and strategies of maintenance. They can also be found in sealed water as proven by Mata et al. (2015). Mycotoxin existences in meal and supply chains are universal, disturbing each human and livestock fitness even commercially disturbing upcoming and civilized nations through vulnerable to variable concentrations (Abass et al. 2017). Ladeira et al. (2017) shows that in spite of the deviation in elements that triggers infections bv mycotoxin, vulnerable consequences are totally worldwide. Episode of whichever for slight or lengthy period could produce extensive toxic consequences as a result of mycotoxins toxicity differences (Abdallah et al., 2020) or particularly thru uninterrupted ingestion or metabolite transferred in animal commodities. Presently, infections of meal and feed through ochratoxin is on daily basis and have resulted into major outbreaks globally disturbing human and animal fitness, even reducing the country's economy (Cinar and Onbaşı, 2019). In the year 2017, it was investigated that elevated range of content of ochratoxins in meal brings about the reduction of 617 billion naira that Nigeria might have saved from non-oil international trading in 9 years if the range of infection were under protected and restricted boundary (https://businessday.ng/). In accordance with Peraica et al. (2014) little generations inclusive of animals and the young are extremely delicate and exhibit responses to the effect of mycotoxins than elder ones because their bottom mass of body, higher range of metabolism, and organs that are poorly developed and removal of toxic materials.

Filamentous fungi species such as Fusarium, Penicillium, Aspergillus, Alternaria and Clavicles manufactured mycotoxins under numerous appropriate conditions climates or weather on agricultural merchandise (Angelina et al., 2010). Twenty five percent (one quarter) of the crops affected across the world are set off through minor metabolites of a number of toxigenic patterns approved by the United Nations Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO) (FAO, 2004). Ochratoxin can be classified into three on the bases of their compounds and chemical structures. Ochratoxin A is the maximum metabolite produced and of the highest risk amongst them due to its nephrotoxin and carcinogenic effect (Rosa et al., 2008). Additionally, lengthy vulnerability of man or women to Ochratoxin A infection ingredients can result in severe health issues inclusive of cancer, kidney, liver and weakening of the immune system (Stove et al., 2010).

Ochratoxins are group of mycotoxins produced through means of a small groups of *Aspergillus* especially *A. ochraceus* and *A. carbonarius*, however additionally via means of 33% of *A. niger* commercial strains) and a few *Penicillium* species, specifically *P. verrucosum* as storage species (Pitt *et al.*, 2000; Abrunhosa *et al.*, 2001; O' Callaghan *et al.*, 2003). These storage fungi are normally known as saprophytes and are determined in specific environment, fields and warehouses (Battilani and Pietri, 2000). This work is a review on diversity of ochratoxins in ready-to-eat-foods in Nigeria **Ochratoxin A** 

Ochratoxin A (OTA) is the most common and vital or applicable fungal toxin of this group, whilst the much less vital ones are the ochratoxins B and C. Ochratoxin A is usually determined in commodities which includes cereals, coffee, dried fruits, meat and meat merchandise and pink wine (Devi et al., 2001; WHO, 2001). It is assessed as Group human carcinogenic following  $2\mathbf{B}$ experimenting with animals (IARC, 1993). Research (Pittet et al., 1996: Gareis and Schever, 2000; Thirumala- Devi et al., 2001; WHO, 2001; Ogunledun, 2007; Dongoetal

2008; Jayeola and Oluwadun, 2010;) have shown detection of Ochratoxigenic A in numerous foods and its risky consequences which include immunotoxicity, neurotoxicity, genotoxicity and probably ability to produce cancer. A report by Jonsyn-Ellin (2000), suggests that Ochratoxin A is indicated as one of the main causes of testicular cancer. This toxin destroys the mobile body structure in a couple of ways: for this reason, the number one consequence is related to enzymes concerned in phenylalanine metabolism, primarily with the enzymes concerned with inside the synthesis of the phenylalanine tRNA complex (Health Canada, 2009; Jayeola and Oluwadun, 2010). OTA I is chemically stable thus, normal food preparing processes cannot significantly lower its appearance in beverages and diets. Erstwhile, OTA is proven to be noxious and has ability to produce cancer in livestock. Ochratoxin A have attracted major research in the latest years, as Health Canada has adjourned OTA maximum limits (MLs) in a range of meals and drinks which might result to the marketability of these products. Canada might also complicate countries that tried international trading of food Canada (Health Canada, 2009; Mohammed et al., 2015).

Recent research evaluation suggests that Ochratoxin is found in initial diagnoses and differentiated from cultures of fungal in South Africa in the year 1965 and reported to have 3 derivatives particularly Ochratoxin A, Ochratoxin B, as well as Ochratoxin C (Temesgen et al., 2018). These 3 derivatives of Ochratoxin are associated in molecular shape. Ochratoxin B (OTB) which is a nonchlorinated shape which differentiate it from Ochratoxin A (OTA) and Ochratoxin C (OTC). However, Ochratoxin A (OTA) and Ochratoxin C (OTC) are ethyl ester (Sofia et al., 2011). Ochratoxin A has a comparable shape with amino acid phenylalanine and is the maximum poisonous member of the Ochratoxin. Chemical forms of Ochratoxin A include 7-carboxyl-5-chloro-8-hydroxyl-4,4dihyfro-3(R)-methyl

isocuomarin (Ochratoxin  $\alpha$ ; OT $\alpha$ ) this is linked by the 7-carboxy to the 1- $\beta$ -

126

phenylalanine through the bond of peptide (Gumus et al., 2018). The number of chemical contents, molecular mass and molecular formulation of every Ochratoxins derivatives are summarized in Table 1. Ochratoxin indicates specific residences in acidic and alkaline solution. For the reason that it is acidic, Ochratoxin A is effortlessly liquefied in polar natural solvents inclusive of alcohol, chloroform and ketone. Molecule of ochratoxin A is liquefy in aqueous sodium bicarbonate as well as in every solution of alkaline generally. Therefore, Ochratoxin A molecules are barely soluble in ether of petroleum, water and hydrocarbons that are saturated (Andre et al., 2010).

In Nigeria, cereal and tubers (e.g., maize, cassava, groundnut sorghum and wheat) are produced in large quantity (FAOSTAT, 2017). Therefore, they are synthesized into non-perishable forms, inclusive of corn fufu, garri, cheese balls and popcorn. These meals are called ready-to-eat (RTE), constituting extra variety of meals for each rural and concrete population. Trucksess *et al.*, 2006; Cavaliere *et al.*, 2006; Sanchis and Magan, 2004; Takahashi *et al.*, 2004; Sayed *et al.*, (2001), discovered that Ready-to-eat foods are largely infected with orchratoxigenic molds for the period of pre- and post-harvest products.

A study by Makun et al. (2013) revealed that in every 109 Nigeria feeds and diets products investigated, 107 had been inflamed using nephrotoxic OTA at extreme concentration exceeding 5 ug/kg considered to be hazardous by European Union (CEC, 2006). Investigation conducted by Adekoya et al. (2017) on Consciousness and Commonness of Contamination of Mycotoxin in some chosen Foods that are Fermented produced by Nigerians which indicates that gruel of maize (ogiri), African oil bean (ugba) and locust bean (iru) suggests that concentration of OTA in ogi-baba (6ug/kg) iru (6ug/kg) with ugba (9ug/kg) became greater than the endorsed restriction establish by the means of commission of the european communities (CEC) for meals products. Ogi was found to be free of OTA infection because of the nonpresences of OTA at some point of fermentation process. Nigeria and different African international locations lose over \$200b to plant pests and sickness yearly. About 40% of vegetation is lost to plant pest diseases yearly (FAO, 2019).

However, the approaches related to the manufacturing, processing, and after processing of these foods which includes drying at the floor, mats and open presentations in plastic or bowls in the markets and improper packing of substances to drag completed merchandise from the

village to city areas may also lead to worse microbial contamination (Ogiehor and Ikenebomeh, 2006). Food borne illnesses result because of those microbial contaminants (Maria et al., 2001 and Omar et al., 2003), converting outcomes in saved foods stuffs inclusive of loses of dietary values, discoloration, inducement of off odors, deterioration in technological high satisfactory and infection mycotoxins can result from mycotoxigenic fungi (Basilico et al., 2001 and Magnoli et al., 2006).

	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
Names	OTA	ОТВ	OTC
Chemical call No.	303-47-9	4825-86-9	4865-85-4
Molecular formula	$C_{20}H_{18}CLNO_6$	$C_{20}H_{19}NO_{6}$	$C_{22}H_{22}CLNO_6$
Molecular mass (g/mol)	403.8	369.4	431.9

 Table 1: Forms and types of Ochratoxin

# Effects of Ochratoxin A in raw feeds and diets.

Ochratoxin is the 1/3 common mycotoxins that affects the fitness of animals, human and financial system with 43.75% having aflatoxins especially fumonisins (21.87%) zearalenone (9.38%) FUM), ZEN). deoxynivalenol (6.25% DON), beauvericin (6.25% BEA) respectively in the Sub Sahara Africa (SSA), whilst others represent 3.13% (Darwish et al., 2014). In 2019, global figures from January through December confirmed the maximum standard of mycotoxins to be 70% FUM in addition to 68% DON. 12 months investigation by Gruber-Dorninger et al., 2019 in SSA, examined an accelerated change in occurrence in DON (49.5%); ZEN (52.2%) and Fumonisins (72.6%) in the midst of different rising mycotoxins (Kebede et al., 2020; Ikeagwulonu et al., 2020; Ojochenemi et al., 2019; Chilaka et al., 2018b; Ladeira et al., 2017). Table 2 summarized the mycotoxin infection update of a number of feed and food products in several localities within Nigeria.

Ochratoxin A (OTA) is an obviously foodborne illness belonging to mycotoxin and

associated with many ingredients, beverages and feeds in temperate and tropical areas. Investigation indicates that there are no sufficient literatures on OTA infection inside meals and feeds within Nigeria. However, Makun et al. (2013) indicate an extensive spread of OTA in maize, common uncooked merchandise eaten in this vicinity as meal and feeds. Niger State recorded the highest value of infection from OTA above European Union (EU) regulatory restriction of 5 ug/kg in uncooked cereal grain (Makun et al., 2011). A study by Adekoya et al., (2017), reported presence of mycotoxins in some chosen fermented foods consumed by Nigerians such as maize gruel (ori), African oil bean seed (ugba) and locust bean (iru). They confirmed that OTA presence in Ogibaba (6ug/kg), ugba (9ug/kg) and iru (6ug/kg) was more than the endorsed restriction establish via Commission of European Communities (CEC) for meals products. Ogi became pronounced to be freed from OTA infection (Oyelami et al., 1996). This was due to eradication of OTA at the point of fermentation process.

Food and feed products	Places within Nigeria	Concentration	References	
		(range)		
Rice	Southwestern Nigeria	0.7 - 180.9ųg/kg	Egbutu et al., 2015	
Rice	North-Central	1.20 - 16.9ųg/kg	Onyedum et al., 2020	
Millet	North-Central Nigeria	1.80 - 6.20ųg/kg	Onyedum et al., 2020	
Wheat	Calabar, Cross River	0.42 - 0.44ųg/kg	Neji et al., 2018	
Garri	North- Central	1.30 - 170.1ųg/kg	Onyedum et al., 2020	
Sorghum	North-Central	1.40 - 5.60ųg/kg	Onyedum et al., 2020	
Melon	Benue and Nasarawa	94 - 112ųg/kg	Esan et al., 2020	
Maize	Calabar and Cross River	0.50 - 0.54ųg/kg	Neji et al., 2018	
Maize	Niger State	0 - 139ųg/kg	Makun et al., 2018	
Maize	Southern Nigeria	0.6 - 79.0ųg/kg	Egbuta <i>et al.</i> , 2018	
Yam flour	North-Central	1.20 - 8.20ųg/kg	Onyedum et al., 2015	

|--|

 Table 3: Accepted limits by Regulatory body to checkmate the safety of Ready-to-eat foods

Mycotoxin	Products	Maximum acceptable limit	Legislative body
Ochratoxin A (OTA)	Untreated cereals	0.5 ųg/kg in 0.12ųg/kg weekly	EU/Codex
Ochratoxin A (OTA)	Juice and wine	2 ųg/kg	EU/Codex
Ochratoxin A (OTA)	Untreated cereals,	5.0 ųg/kg	EU/Codex
	Wheat, barley and	rye	
Ochratoxin A (OTA)	Spices	200 ųg/kg	EU/Codex

Effects of Ochratoxins A on Animal fitness

Animals are prone to Ochratoxin A infections just like the human being, while their feeds had been to contain few forms of contaminants which can lead to health challenges and subsequently to loss of life after ingested into the system (Madhavan et al., 1968). Once the animals are unprotected from Ochratoxin infections it indicates exclusive medical signs and symptoms which ends up in damage of liver, decreased weight add up and reduction of their end products (for example meat, egg, milk products and milk). These result to terrific monetary losses to every society and enterprises at large. It is well-known that Ochratoxin A infection related deficiencies became to of micronutrient in animals while a small number of investigations has confirmed that few of them has no relationship with these deficiencies. Some medical signs and symptoms encompass small capacity of reproduction, gastrointestinal disorder in addition to reduction in usage of feed (Robens et al., 1992). However, chances of animal coming down with carcinogenesis through Ochratoxin A rely on their age, sex,

species, and status of dietary and hormone of the animal (Alim *et al*, 2014).

### **Ochratoxin A Effects on Human fitness**

Ochratoxin A (OTA) is a prime less important mycotoxins metabolite associated with merchandise of agriculture and end results to numerous fitness challenges for animals and human beings. Firstly. vulnerability of human to Ochratoxin A sicknesses without delay is through the intake of Ochratoxin A infected plant beginning ingredients (cereals) and not directly from animal merchandise whilst the animals feed on Ochratoxin A infected merchandise or feed. Lalini et al., (2010) reveal that exposure of human to Ochratoxin A results in numerous toxigenic results which include teratogenic, hepatoxic. nephrotoxic, tendency to cause cancer, and immunosuppression. Kidney is the principal goal organ for Ochratoxin A. Other unfavorable impact encompasses liver toxin, immune suppressant, reticence of synthesis macromolecules, lipid peroxidation of increases and inhibition of mitochondrial ATP production. In addition, the intake of mycotoxin infected ingredients by human can

health challenges or disorders inclusive of diarrhea, reproductive disorder, cancer, increase impairment and immunomodulation (Muzaffer *et al.*, 2010). Major results of unfavorable human fitness situations consist of kidney disorder chronic interstitial nephropathy (CIN) and Balkan Endemic Nephropathy (BEN) (Castegnaro *et al.*, 2006). Due to the sicknesses recorded from OTA infections, International Organizations has summarized the calculated tolerable restriction intakes of OTA into human system as shown in table 4.

### Symptoms of Ochratoxin A

Symptoms depend on individual health status, but common symptoms include:

- Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS)
- Chronic fatigue
- Depression or low mood
- Sinusitis
- Symptoms of cognitive for example brain fog
- Issues of histamine and allergic reactions.

Table	4:	Ochratoxin	A(OTA)	Calculated	tolerable	limit	for	human	intakes	set	by
Intern	atio	nal Organiza	tions.								

Organization	Metric of tolerable intake	Limit	References
European Food Safety Authority (EFSA)	PTW1	120ng/kg bw/week	EFSA, 2006
Health Canada	PTDI	3ng/kg bw/day	Kuiper-Goodman et al., 2010
Health Canada	NCRI	4ng/kg bw/day	Kuiper-Goodman et al., 2010
Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives (JECFA) 2007	PTWI	100ng/kg bw/week	JECFA, 2007
Nordic Expert Group on Food Safety	TDI	5ng/kg bw/day	Olson et al., 1991
Scientific Committee of Food (SCF) of the European Union	PTDI	5ng/kg bw/day	EFSA, 2006

Key: NCRI- Negligible cancer risk intake, PTWI- Provisional tolerable weekly intake, PTDI- Provisional tolerable daily intake, TDI -Tolerable daily intake.

# Conducive conditions for Ochratoxin A in several commodities.

The maximum appropriate abiotic elements that favor the boom and production of Ochratoxin A with the spoilage fungi consist of water availability, temperature and gas composition (Naresh and David, 2005). Water availability is the most important factor that facilitate the germination, increase, and proliferation order of molds on nutrient worth substrates. Recent studies suggest that infection with Ochratoxin A became pronounced by P. verrucocum at water interest 0.95 at 25°C (Bucheli and Taniwaki, 2002). The 2<sup>nd</sup> energetic active abiotic element after water interest is temperature, it contributes distinctly to the manufacturing of Ochratoxin A in specific agricultural commodities. Several researches exhibit that the most favourable temperature

30°C for A. ochraceus, 10 to 20°C for A. carbonarius and 20 to 25°C for A. niger aggregate (Ramos et al., 1998; Belli et al., 2005; Esteban et al., 2006). Water and temperature aren't the simplest factors that encourage the manufacturing of Ochratoxin a few different nations harbour A. appropriate situations for Ochratoxin A formation in numerous feeds and meals. However, while the moisture content indicates underneath 17% of the possibilities for improving *P. verrucosum* and Ochratoxin A manufacture is limited (Naresh and David, 2005). Apart from moisture contents and temperature, compositions of gas are essential elements in the growth of fungi and manufacturing of Ochratoxin A in numerous items of meals, coffee, alcoholic beverage and dried fruit. Research from (Cairns et al.,

for Ochratoxin A production is from 25 to

2005) exhibits that the outcome of composition of gas is essential within the traits of *P. verrucosum* and Ochratoxin A formation in wheat grains that is inoculated at  $25^{\circ}$ C for 28 days. The production of Ochratoxin A in massive product relies upon lone or extra fungal species in infecting the goods as a result of the existence of suitable climatic elements, situation of environment and the manner of treatment (which includes drying, storing, processing and hauling) and

techniques of agriculture performs an essential function in improvement of fungi and production of Ochratoxin A (Marta and Bedaso, 2016; Ogara *et al.*, 2017). Finally, all processed and unprocessed meals stuffs which aren't well stored properly and uncovered to humidity and temperature for a protected time frame produce appropriate situations for mold proliferation and may lead to mycotoxin production (Ahmad and Jae, 2017).

OTA- fungi producers	Max- Min of temperature °C	Water activity	Affected products
A. Ochraceus	24 - 31 (8 - 37)	0.95 - 0.99	Dried salted and smoked fish, soya beans, dried beans, chickpeas, rapeseed, dried fruit, pepper, sesame seeds, cereals, nuts, rice, maize, barley, wheat, bran, coffee beans and flour.
A. Carbonarius	32 - 25 (N/A-40)	0.82	Grapes and products of grape, which include table grapes, dried vine fruits and wines.
A. Niger	35 - 37(6 - 47)	0.77	Apples, nuts, pears, citrus, peaches, grapes, strawberries, figs, mangoes, melons, tomatoes, onions yam and garlic.
P. verrucosum	20(0 - 30)	0.80	Meat products, cheese, Cereal crops

Table 5: Optimal growth condition for Ochratoxin producing fungi and products affected

Source: JECFA (Joint FAO/WHO Commission on Food Additives (JECFA), 2001).

#### Analytical strategies for detecting Ochratoxin A

Different methods were designed for detecting Ochratoxin A in a whole lot of meals merchandise consisting of diversity of products of food which include cereals (corn, barley, flour and wheat bran), cocoa, coffee, dried fruits, beer and wine. Recent assessment suggests specific analytical strategies for detection, quantification and separation of Ochratoxin A from specific diets stuffs, alcoholic drinks and feeds as discussed below.

### Thin layer chromatography

Thin Layer Chromatography is a technique utilized in isolating non-risky combination. At some stage in engaging in the experimentation, aluminum foil sheet, glass or plastic that's covered by means of a thin coat of adsorbent materials and materials typically inclusive of silica gel, cellulose or aluminum oxide. Compared to different chromatography methods, thin layer

chromatography totally relies upon the separation standards and it is based on the comparative similarity of compounds in the direction of each phase. The phase that is mobile moves above the floor of the immobile phase. Since its improvement in 1960's thin chromatography is the maximum extensively used and it designed for separation and detection approach for aflatoxin (Santos and Vargas, 2002). It is likewise used for the detection of Ochratoxin A from exclusive plants commodities of agriculture. For example, recognition of 10 mg/kg of Ochratoxin A, and 2.4 - 4 mg/kg of Ochratoxin A from wheat and rice correspondingly (Pittet and Royer, 2002). Thin layer chromatography continues to be famous and used for each quantitative and semi-quantitative function in mycotoxins evaluation. The predominant purpose is because of its excessive sample's throughput, low cost of operation and simplicity of identify of target compounds, the use of UV–vis spectral evaluation in particular mainly in growing nation (Nicholas *et al.*, 2009). Detection restriction of thin layer chromatography is excessive, separation duration is confined and absence mechanization in comparison to different chromatographic techniques (Jarmila *et al.*, 2013).

### Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA)

Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA); an Enzyme related assay of immunosorbent is a plate-primarily sourced method of assay that is utilized for detection and measuring materials along with hormones, antibodies, proteins and peptides. Enzyme linked immunosorbent assav strategies have its very specific disadvantages and advantages while undertaking evaluation much like mentioned analytical strategies. Advantages of enzyme linked immunosorbent assay techniques are their simplicity and capability to research wide range samples at the same time. In addition, low quantity samples are required, faster pattern cleanup, easy and specific. Disadvantages enzyme of linked immunosorbent assay are much less correct, pretty low touchy and low performance in comparison to other chromatography strategies (Rasic et al., 2009). Therefore, the false positive or poor results are recorded due to the cross-reactions among molecules or interferences. Quantitative approach need not to be performed with the enzyme linked immunosorbent assay kits best pattern of meals may be analyzed and it need to be considerably examined and verified to work (Scott, 2002). Researchers stated that once the use of enzyme linked immunosorbent assay method is good enough, controls must be obtained for every analysis, to make certain the quantification validity if no longer correct, it will be difficult to obtain (Sharaf et al., 2020).

# HighPerformanceLiquidChromatography (HPLC)

Additional superior and touchy strategies of analysis for detecting Ochratoxins and Ochratoxins A in organic substances are being advanced successively with regard to

the unique improvement of analytical and instrumentation strategies. Similar to other chromatography, types of chemical components separation is completed thru use of immobile phase and a mobile phase in HPLC. Liquid makes the mobile phase whilst the stationary or immobile phase is solid. High performance liquid chromatograph strategies are extra famous and most appropriate for mycotoxins evaluations as compared to different chromatographic strategies in these current times (Pruslin et al., 1991). For instance, as compared to thin layer chromatography strategies (Valenta, 1998).

HPLC is brief and efficient as it makes use of a pump, in place of gravity, to pressure a solvent of liquid thru a strong adsorbent substance, with numerous chemical additives differentiating out as they circulate at distinct momentums (Jarmila et al., 2013). The evaluation maybe processed more or less 10 to 30 minutes and provides excessive resolution. As stated earlier, some of strategies are utilized for detection of Ochratoxin A as of a specific food stuffs originating from animal and plant. Therefore, HPLC having fluorescence mild detector (HPLC-FLD) and immunoaffinity cleanup of column strategies are typically utilized for Ochratoxin A quantification in huge range of food substances originating from same plant and animal (Frantisek et al., 2016). Recent research exhibits that using immunoaffinity column in cleanup steps at some stage in evaluation of mycotoxins has a number of benefits. For example, clean the sample extracted, accuracy and precision, swiftness and eventually to lessen a few interferences from the analyte (Frantisek et al, 2016). Finally, a few benefits of those cleaning strategies are the unique units of Ochratoxin A directly to the antibody as well as the nearentire elimination of the interference of matrix (Castellari et al., 2000). Nevertheless, in scenario of Ochratoxin A, tough rough calculation may be determined if alkaline situation is used for extraction, due to the fact that Ochratoxin A is change to Ochratoxin A(OP-OA) of open-ring and cannot be identified through antibodies (Castegnaro et al., 2006).

# Problems related to Mycotoxin studies in Nigeria

Mycotoxin investigation in Nigeria commenced in the year 1961 prompted through a set of researchers from UK Tropical Research Institute by way of understanding of aflatoxin regarding the and its spread consequence. arena Nevertheless, numerous confrontations have stalled appliance of its outcomes to manage its destructive influence on safety of food, fitness of animal and human including the economy of the nation. A number of the restraints beside powerful acceptance of the mycotoxin study's result or outputs embrace gadget lacking and shortage of kits, inadequate equipment for secure Ochratoxin studies, misused of reagents, inappropriate preservation of laboratory apparatus, inadequate investment and expertise and regulations of Mycotoxin in Nigeria.

#### Lack of gadget and shortage of kits

Mycotoxin recognition is erstwhile restricted to thin layer chromatography (TLC) due to lack of financial support to accumulate updated apparatus which can offer precision that give higher and correct information. The utilization of outdated apparatus presents whichever outcome which may be doubtful and of questionable confidence. This causes excessive challenges to researchers that embark on mycotoxins research (Imade et al., 2021). In recent times, novel strategies consisting of HPLC, ELIZA LC-MS/MS have demonstrated accurate outcome that range from lesser to bigger samples. Smaller numbers of Nigerian universities have HPLC but facility suitable for LC-MS/MS in mostly the entire public university. Even though, researchers from time to time work together with contemporaries in a few privatelyowned universities that have received this equipment however at a relative cost of analysis (Oyendum et al., 2020).

Due to the high cost of acquiring the apparatus, researchers with research centered on the study of mycotoxin expend a huge amount of money to get samples analyzed via an intermediary settlement. A lot that research on mycotoxin recognition and quantification in a few samples of feed and meals convey samples to laboratories or organizations having modern centers for examinations at exorbitant rate. In fact, in a number of cases samples are conveyed to foreign nations having recognized and shown most excellent standard laboratory methods in which precision, accuracy and dependability attains global most excellent principles (Imade *et al.*, 2021).

# Inappropriate maintenance to laboratory apparatus

To obtained dependable effects which can be replicated, laboratories equipment has to be cleaned well. The entire apparatus utilized in Africa nations for mycotoxin including Nigeria is imported for some that could manage to pay for it and quite skilled workers might not be present for correct preservation and everyday schedule inspection (Bessaire *et al.*, 2019).

# Lack of protective equipment to encourage mycotoxin studies

A single important element that mired the accomplishment of mycotoxin studies in Nigeria is the negative or improper consciousness information on mycotoxin toxicity. A lot are disenchanted and reluctant to undertaking mycotoxin studies due to its related danger specifically within their loss of essential individual defensive paraphernalia (Ovendum et al., 2020). Exposure of people to mycotoxin studies utilizing rudimentary protection equipment and apparatus might also result to fitness challenges, consequently researchers' that work on safety of food are likely to stay away from mycotoxin related trials as a result of the high risk involved (Imade et al., 2021).

#### Poor investment and expertise

Several lowly developed countries government have given less concern or unimportant consideration to investigate financial support in the region of safety of food as it relate to mycotoxin infection. Though, association of a few scientists in the group of Mycotoxicological Society of Nigeria (MSN) have a directive to investigate into mycotoxin associated research, however the organization do not have governmental

assistance required to efficiently supply their authorization. In Nigeria today, there may be presently no agency or department of government that normally gives finances for or encourages studies of mycotoxin. A lot have worked in partnership with the International Institute of Tropical Agriculture different well-funded (IITA) plus organizations to hold on with research and initiate program of awareness required to spread and sensitize the general community on the mycotoxins impact (Bankole and Adebanjo, 2003).

In Nigeria, there may be scarcity of qualified persons to initiate conferences, seminars, workshops and symposium which talk about mycotoxin infections as well as its repercussion on safety of food. Expertise is acquired merely via less expensive practicum workshops and symposiums organized via MSN in conjunction with manufacturers of Mycotoxin kits. nonetheless, the desired helps from agencies of government which includes the National Agency for Food and Administration Drug and Control (NAFDAC) and Standards Organization of Nigeria (SON) to perform nationwide studies on mycotoxins is not in realism of which training could barely be made via unique Non-governmental organization. organizations for instance International Institute of Tropical Agriculture (IITA), Ibadan erstwhile are so useful in rendering helps in ways of potential buildings in addition to offering counter work services but at expensive fees. With no involvement of government discharging fees for funding and accommodating a discussion board or create curriculum to awareness on contamination of mycotoxin (Ferrão et al., 2017).

The unsecure ranges of mycotoxin infections of feeds and meals will rise persistently in addition to depreciating the market price of the meals as well as nicely shedding on the unmarketability of product of agriculture. Desolately, when a range of advanced nations plus a few subsectors in the African territories have attained noteworthy success in achieving food security improvement and sustainability techniques, which includes Nigeria along with Uganda and a few are yet making effort to balance their locally produced foodstuff regulation bodies to present safety of food (Obinna, 2015).

### Misused of chemicals

Reagents must easily be supplied and retrieved by scientists. Reagents of mycotoxin are normally imported usually in upcoming nations mostly, Nigeria, A single of the implications by means of obtaining standard reagents and mycotoxin from overseas corporations is the doubt in addition to fear of its usage for organic armaments as an alternative to studies reasons (Atanda et al., 2013). Notwithstanding the countless of those hitches, researchers from those upcoming nations have remained unyielding in their method to guaranteeing safety of food.

## Guidance of mycotoxin in Nigeria

Mycotoxins infected feed, food, or uncooked resources utilized in manufacturing them can results to illness and various problems in livestock and humans. Due to their fantastic style of poisonous consequences and the excessive warmth, the existence of mycotoxin in feed and food is regarded as an excessive threat to the fitness of both animal and human. With the purpose of securing quality of meal and fitness of animals along with final eaters, several nations have certain policies that guide mycotoxin composition in different products (Ferrão et al., 2017). Legislations of European nations have put most constituents of a few mycotoxins in several patterns (Arroyo-Manzanares et al., 2014). Infections of feed and meals via fungi manufacturing mycotoxin have become a terrifying obstacle toward worldwide food protection of civic fitness health and financial consequence. Several nations have placed a restriction border of infection to several feed and food to reduce the health implications linked to mycotoxins infections. This enforces protection of foods therefore hindering the improvement of mycotoxins induced fitness troubles which include carcinogenicity, teratogenicity. hepatotoxicity and damaged systems of immune, poisoning structure of body thru damage of morphology of DNA and respiration (Akoma et al., 2019; Razzaghi-Abyaneh et al., 2014).

Adejumo and Adejoro, 2014; Atanda et al., 2013 stated that Nigeria has followed the Alimentarius and Codex European commission Standards regulations of mycotoxin which might be utilized generally for export merchandise. Mu et al., (2017), said that rules have been put to protect as well as guide the mycotoxin appearance in commercially treated meals, in addition to others prepared for international trading in urbanized several nations with no examination for traditionally prepared merchandise in which no attempt is made upon the guide of toxigenic fungi in foodstuff infection particularly in upcoming nations in which small or no rules are given. Conventions place via the globe thru the and further European Union groups implemented thru upcoming countries which include Nigeria, seems to not think about the numerous merge results of mycotoxins. Ferrão et al., (2017), reported that no existence of present rules which may be modified or made compulsory to lessen the level of infection that resulted in food resources via the mycotoxins. Shephard et al., (2019), proved that normally, in the emergent nations along with a few of civilized nations, vulnerable to mycotoxins particularly extreme elevated fumonisins through people that ingested maize and maize merchandises could not be directed via controlled standards. Diverse method must be useful in the adaptable restriction of feed and meal infected through mycotoxinsmanufacturing fungi. It is due to compulsory adjustment of most restriction that is not practice in one man farming process in which the food manufactured are intended for house utilization with small sold in the society. Consequently, the majority of regulatory restraint might be established to sustain rejection of produce of every one-man and mechanized farmer (Ferrão et al., 2017).

Unsatisfactory trade procedures in which moldy food facilities are added up healthy or high-quality merchandises to reduce gain and also results to failure of regulatory restrictions. This is a normal procedure

among manufacture entrepreneurs or middlemen that trade agro-products in uncivilized markets. Apart from the no enlightenment in the presence of mycotoxins, is an additional maximum implication amongst the village little vields owners. Major manufacture products; include maize, coffee, pistachio nuts, peanuts, spices, cereals and additional nuts intended for international trading amid extreme hazard of mycotoxin infection, distributed along crosswise various continents. Therefore, frequent level is differentiated among one part of the globe to another because of environmental conditions, storage strategies and ways of handling Ogunfuwa (2017). Present in 2015 and 2016, the European Union discarded 67 foodstuffs which include: melon seeds, sesame seeds, ginger, fish and dried meat, palm oil, mushrooms, cravfish, bitter leaf, cowpea, prawns, live snails, peanut chips because of refusal to attain standards of regulation on visuals and bacterial contamination. morphology of mold on samples, as well as refusal of meal merchandises to meeting the nations pesticide approval. The investigation of Somorin et al. (2016) regarding citrinin, OTA and AFs coexist in egusi (melon seed) from Nigeria is a single example of the instance that justifies the base for growing border refusal of consignments of melon seed to EU from Nigeria as pointed out in European Rapid Alert System for Food and Feed (RASFF) resulted to approving laws which is directed to facilitate 50% of delivery of egusi and its own resultant merchandises from Nigeria be confirmed prior to being authorized in the EU (Marvin et al., 2009; Kleter et al., 2009). Consequently, several countries as well as bodies have developed its personal standard of most conventional restriction for mycotoxin infection of feeds and meals. Affirming a most satisfactory restrict of infection requires to be balanced in equally the feeds and meal regarding the protection of fitness of animal and human. In accordance with Mu et al. (2017), this could be carried out through specific agency which could rate and formulate the most appropriate limit for different regulating agency to adopt a normal limit.

## Conclusion

In emergent nations like Nigeria, diseases conditions associated with Ochratoxin are life threatening and serious issues that can lead to death eventually. This review emphasized on exposure of Ochratoxin pollution of feeds and food in Nigeria in addition to improving on the weakness consigning consciousness of agricultural products during pre and post-harvest, storage facilities which lead to risks of diseases associated with Ochratoxin like liver, kidney cancer and weaken the immune structure. However. carcinogenic, hepatotoxic. genotoxic, immunotoxin, neurotoxic and teratogenic types of infection associated with health of animal can be controlled. It may be difficult to be adopted in emerging countries, but several measures can be taken to safe guide the whole nation.

Excellent agricultural system, good processes of productions/manufacturing, proper facilities for storage, adequate techniques of farming and planting varieties that are resistance may help in controlling the contamination of Ochratoxin in agricultural products for both domestic practice and international trade. Designing and frequent mycotoxin and mycoflora investigation such as; supervising programmes, supply of proper/good analytical facilities might decrease the level of mycotoxins and fungal contaminations in foods. Nigeria should legislative body enforce the against Ochratoxin infected feeds and food in guaranteeing the free as of mycotoxin infection in addition to its resultant consequences to the consumer.

### References

- Abass, A.B., Awoyale, W., Sulyok, M. and Alamu, E.O. (2017). Occurrence of regulated mycotoxins and other microbial metabolites in dried cassava products from Nigeria. *Toxins*. 9(7): 207 - 221 doi:10.3390/toxins9070207.
- Abdallah M.F.; Audenaert K, Lust L, Landschoot S, Bekaert B, Haesaert G, De Boevre M and De Saeger S. (2020). Risk characterization and quantification of mycotoxins and their producing fungi in sugarcane juice: a neglected problem

in a widely-consumed traditional beverage. *Food Control*. 108: 106811. doi: 10.1016/j.foodcont.2019.106811.

- Abrunhosa, L., R.R.M. Paterson, Z. Kozakiewicz, N.Lima and A.Venancio. (2001). Mycotoxin production from fungi isolated from grapes. *Letters in Applied Microbiology*, 32: 240–242.
- Adekoya, I., Njobeh, P., Obadina, A., Chilaka, C., Okoth S., De Boevre M. and De Saeger S. (2017). Awareness and prevalence of mycotoxin contamination in selected Nigerian fermented foods. *Toxins*. 9(11): 1–16. doi:10.3390/toxins9110363.
- Ahmad A. and Jae H. (2017). Occurrence, Toxicity, and Analysis of major Mycotoxins in Food. *International journal of environmental research and public health* 14: 632-638. Link: <u>https://bit.ly/30Bc4bn</u>.
- Alim N, Naseem Z, Yasha N, Sajia H (2014). Afl atoxin: a potential threat to human health. Journal of Food Science 24: 256–271. Link: <u>https://bit.ly/2Tw3ble.</u>
- Andre K. and Ali A. (2010). Ochratoxin A: General overview and actual molecular status. *Journal of Toxins* 2: 461-493. Link: <u>https://bit.ly/37Lh9rQ</u>.
- Angelina P., Celeste L. (2010). Mycotoxin food and feed regulation and specific case of Ochratoxin A: A review of the worldwide status. *Journal of Food Additives and Contaminations* 27: 1-11. Link: <u>https://bit.ly/35IlucL</u>.
- Bankole SA, Adebanjo A. (2003). Mycotoxins in food in West Africa: current situation and possibilities of controlling it. *African Journal of Biotechnology*. 2(9): 254–274. doi:10.5897/AJB2003.000-1053.
- Basilico, J.C., De Basilica, M.Z., Chierizatti,
  C. and Vinderola C.G. (2001).
  Characterization and Control of thread mould in cheese. *Letters in Applied Microbiology*, 32: 419-423.
- Battilani P. and Pietri, A. (2002). Ochratoxin A in grapes and wine. *European Journal* of Plant Pathology, 108: 639–643.
- Bellí N., A.J. Ramos, I. Coronas, V. Sanchis, and S. Marín. (2005). *Aspergillus*

*carbonarius* growth and ochratoxin A production on a synthetic grape medium in relation to environmental factors. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 98: 839–844.

- Bucheli P, Taniwaki M (2002). Research on the origin and the impact of postharvest handling and manufacturing on the presence of ochratoxin A in coffee – Review. *Food Addit Contam* 19: 655– 665. Link: https://bit.ly/3mplrt0.
- Bui-Klimke, T. R., and Felicia Wu. (2015).
  Ochratoxin A and human health risk: A review of the evidence. *Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr*.55(13). 1860-1869.
  Doi:1080/10408398.2012.724480.
- Cairns V, Aldred D, Magan N. (2005). Water, temperature and gas composition interactions affect growth and Ochratoxin A production by isolates of *Penicillium verrucosum* on wheat grain. *J Appl Microbiol* 99: 1215-1221. Link: https://bit.ly/34Agzey.
- Castegnaro M, Tozlovanu M, Wild C, Molinié A, Pfoh L. (2006). Advantages and drawbacks of Immunoaffi nity columns in analysis of mycotoxins in food. *Mol Nutr Food Res* 50: 480-487. Link: https://bit.ly/3jFHFVP.
- Castellari M, Fabbri S, Fabiani A and Amati A. (2000). Comparison of different Immunoaffi nity clean-up procedures for high-performance liquid chromatographic analysis of Ochratoxin A in wines. J Chromatogr A 888: 129– 136. Link: https://bit.ly/3jz9fE1
- Cavaliere G, P.Fogia, E.Pastorini, R.Samperi and Lagana, A. (2006). A liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometric confirmatory method for determining aflatoxin m1 in cow milk comparison between electrospray and atmospheric pressure photoionization sources. Journal of Chromatography,1101: 69-78.
- Chilaka CA, De Boevre M, Atanda OO, De Saeger S. (2018). Quantification of fusarium mycotoxins in Nigerian traditional beers and spices using a multi-mycotoxin LC-MS/MS method.

*Food Control.* 87: 203–210. doi:10.1016/j.

- Cinar, A. and Onbaşı, E. (2019). Mycotoxins: the hidden danger in foods. *Mycotoxins* and Food Safety 2019: 1-21 doi:10.5772/intechopen.89001.
- Council for Agricultural Science and Technology, (2003). Mycotoxins: Risks in plant, animal and human systems. Task Force Report, pp:139.
- Darwish WS, Ikenaka Y, Nakayama SMM, Ishizuka M. (2014). An overview on Mycotoxin contamination of foods in Africa. *J Vet Med Sci*. 76(6): 789–797. doi:10.1292/jvms.13-0563.
- Dongo, L., Bandyopadhyay, R., Kumar, M. and Ojiambo, P. S. (2008). Occurrence of Ochratoxin A in Nigerian for Nigeria ready for sale cocoa beans. *Agricultural Journal*. 3(1): 4–9.
- Egbuta, M., Wanza, M. and Dutton, M. (2015). Evaluation of five major Mycotoxins Co-contaminating two cereal grains from Nigeria. *International Journal of Biochemistry Research & Review*. 6(4):160–169. doi:10.9734/ijbcrr/2015/15306.
- Esan AO, Fapohunda SO, Ezekiel CN, Sulyok M, Krska R. (2020). Distribution of fungi and their toxic metabolites in melon and sesame seeds marketed in two major producing states in Nigeria. *Mycotoxin Res.* (4). 36(4): 361-369. doi:10.1007/s12550-020-00400-0.
- Esteban A, Abarca M, Bragulat R, Cabañes F. (2006). Effect of water activity on ochratoxin A production by *Aspergillus niger* aggregate species. *Int J Food Microbiol* 108: 188-195. Link: <u>https://bit.ly/3kDH3Bx</u>.
- European Food Safety Authority, EFSA (2006). Opinion of the scientific panel on contaminants in the food chain on a request from the commission related to ochratoxin A in food. 365:1–56.
- Ferrão J, Bell V, Fernandes TH. (2017). Mycotoxins, food safety and security in Sub-Saharan Africa. SM Journal of Food and Nutritional Disorders, 3(2): 1–9.

- Food and Agriculture Organization of United Nations, (2004). Food and Agriculture Organization Corporate Statistical Database.
- Francis, I., Edgar, M. A., Hairong, G., Sana, U., Tanvir, A., Gang, W., Chenxi, Z., Oyeyemi, D., Fuguo, X., Yongquan, Z. and Yang, L. (2021). Updates on food and feed mycotoxin contamination and safety in Africa with special reference to Nigeria, Mycology, DOI: 10.1080/21501203.2021.1941371.
- Frantisek M, Annie L, Vladimir O, Jakub T. (2016). Ochratoxin A: 50 Years of Research. *Journal of Toxins* 8: 191-239. Link: <u>https://bit.ly/34D9f1N</u>.
- Gareis, M and R.Scheuer, (2000). Ochratoxin A in meat and meat products. *Archive* for Lebensmittel Hygiene, 51: 102–104.
- Gruber-Dorninger, C., Jenkins, T. and Schatzmayr G. (2019). Global mycotoxin occurrence in feed: a tenyear survey. *Toxins*. 11(7): 375 - 400. doi:10.3390/toxins11070375.
- Gumus. R, Ercan N, Imik H. (2018). Determination of Ochratoxin A levels in mixed feed and feedstuffs used in some laying hens and ruminant's enterprises of Sivas city. Journal of Poultry Science 20: 85-90. Link: https://bit.ly/31TbHiP
- Hassan, A. A; Mogeda, K. M.; Howayda, M. E.; Nouha, H.A., Rasha, M.H. and Sayed, E. (2012). Studies on Mycosis and Mycotoxicosis in Cattle. *Bulletin of Environment, Pharmacology and Life Sciences.*,1(3):12-22.
- Hassan, A.A.; Noha, H. Oraby; Aliaa, A. E. Mohamed and Mahmoud, H.H. (2014). The possibility of using Zinc Oxide nanoparticles in controlling some fungal and bacterial strains isolated from buffaloes. *Egypt. J. of Appl. Sci.*, 29 (3): 58-83
- IARC (1993). Monography on the evaluation of carcinogenic risks to humans some naturally occurring substance. *Journal* of International Agency for Research on Cancer 56: 489-496.
- Ikeagwulonu, R.C., Onyenekwe, C.C., Oshim, I.O., Olise, N.A., Odeyemi, O. and Ojidei, C. K. (2020). Investigation

of the levels of total Aflatoxin in herbal traditional medicines from selected vendors dealers in South-Eastern Nigeria. Journal of Advances in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences. 22(1): 26-31 doi:10.0724/jamma/2020/s221120152

doi:10.9734/jamps/2020/v22i130152.

- Imade, F., Ankwasa, E.M., Geng, H., Ullah, S., Ahmad, T., Wang, G., Zhang, C., Dada, O., Xing, F., Zheng, Y. and Liu, Y. (2021). Updates on food and feed mycotoxin contamination and safety in Africa with special reference to Nigeria. *Mycology*, 12(4): 245-260.
- Jarmila SS, Frantisek M, Vladimir O and Tomas R. (2013). Determination of Ochratoxin A in Food by High Performance Liquid Chromatography. *Journal of Analytical Letters* 46: 1495-1504.
- Jayeola,C.O. and Oluwadun, A. (2000). Mycoflora and nutritional components of cocoa powder samples in South West Nigeria. *Africa Journal of Agri. Research*, 5: 2694-2698.
- Joint FAO/WHO Committee on Food Additives, JECFA (2007). Evaluation of certain food additives and contaminants (Sixty-eighth report) of the Joint FAO/WHO Expert Committee on Food Additives). WHO Technical Report Series.
- Joint FAO/WHO Committee on Food Additives, JECFA (2001). Safety Evaluations of Certain Mycotoxins in Food. JECFA Food Additives Series.
- Jonsyn-Ellins, F.E. (2000). Seasonal variation in exposure frequency and concentration levels of aflatoxins and ochratoxins in urine samples of boys and girls. *Mycopathologia*, 15: 216-219.
- Kebede H, Liu X, Jin J, Xing F. (2020). Current status of major mycotoxins contamination in food and feed in Africa. *Food Control*. 110:106975. doi:10.1016/j. foodcont.2019.106975.
- Kuiper-Goodman, T., Hilts, C., Billiard, S. M., Kiparissis, Y., Richard, I. K. and Hayward, S. (2010). Health risk assessment of ochratoxin A for all agesex strata in a market economy. *Food*

Additives and Contaminants. 27:212–240.

- Kuruc, J., Hegstad, H., Lee, K. and Wolf-Hall C. (2015). Infestation and Quantification of Ochratoxigenic Fungi in Barley and Wheat Naturally Contaminated with Ochratoxin A. *J Food Prot* 78: 1350-1356. Link: https://bit.ly/2G7FFrC.
- Ladeira, C., Frazzoli, C. and Orisakwe, O. E. (2017). Engaging one health for noncommunicable diseases in Africa: perspective for Mycotoxins. *Frontiers in Public Health.* 5(October). 266 -280 doi:10.3389/fpubh.2017.00266.
- Lalini R. and Kanti B. (2010). Ochratoxin food contaminants: impacts on human health. *Journal on Human of Toxins* 2: 771-779. Link: <u>https://bit.ly/3myasxi</u>
- Lee, H. and Magan, N. (2000). Impact of environment and interspecific interactions between spoilage fungi and *Aspergillus ochraceus* on growth and Ochratoxin production in maize grain. *Int J Food Microbiol* 61: 11-16. Link: <u>https://bit.ly/3kyUJO1</u>
- Madhavan, T. and Gopalan, C. (1968). The effects of dietary protein on carcinogens of ochratoxins. *Arch Pathol* 80: 133–137. Link: https://bit.ly/2TyHzVl.
- Magnolia, C., Hallak, A., Astoreeca, L., Ponsone, S., Chiazchiera, C and Dalcero, A.M. (2006). Occurrence of ochratoxin A producing fungi in commercial kernels in Argentina. *Mycopathologia*, 161: 53-58.
- Makun, H. A., Adeniran, A. L., Mailafiya, S. C., Ayanda, I. S., Mudashiru, A. T., Ojukwu, U. J., Jagaba, A. S., Usman, Z. and Salihu, D. A. (2013). Natural occurrence of ochratoxin A in some marketed Nigerian foods. *Food Control.* 31(2): 566–571. doi:10.1016/j. foodcont.2012.09.043.
- Makun, H. A., Dutton, M. F., Njobeh, P. B., Mwanza, M. and Kabiru, A. Y. (2011).
  Natural multi-occurrence of mycotoxins in rice from Niger State, Nigeria. *Mycotoxin Res.* 27(2): 97-104 doi:10.1007/s12550-010-0080-5.

- Maria, P., Maria, L., Juan, M. and Sofia, C. (2012). Control of Ochratoxin A production in grapes. *Journal of Toxin* 4: 364-372. Link: https://bit.ly/2HL8HxC
- Marta, T. and Bedaso, K. (2016). Occurrence, importance and control of mycotoxins: A review. *Journal of Cogent Food & Agriculture* 2: 1191-1203. Link: <u>https://bit.ly/3jCXoVM</u>
- Mata, A. T., Ferreira, J. P., Oliveira, B. R., Batoréu, M. C., Barreto-Crespo, M. T., Pereira, V. J. and Bronze, M. R. (2015). Bottled water: analysis of mycotoxins by LC-MS/MS. Food Chem. 176: 455– 464.

10.1016/j.foodchem.2014.12.088.

- Mohammed, J. N., Ayansina, A. D. V., & Wassagi, H. F. (2015). Mycological evaluation of spoilt onion bulbs sold in Lapai town. *Jewel Journal of Scientific Research*, 3(1): 94-100.
- Muzaffer D, Jose F. (2010). Ochratoxins in feeds, a risk for animal and human health. Journal of Toxins 2: 1065-1077. Link: https://bit.ly/2TBcW1I.
- Naresh, M. and David, A. (2005). Conditions of formation of Ochratoxin A in drying, transport and in different commodities. *Food Addit Contam* 1: 10-16. Link: https://bit.ly/2G7TQwS
- Neji, P. A., Vincent, T. O. and Anozie, R. C. (2018). Assessment of the levels of Mycotoxins in varieties of cereals (*Oryza sativa, Zea mays, Pennisetum* glaucum and Triticum aestivum) obtained from Calabar markets, Cross River State, Nigeria. International Journal of Scientific and Research Publications (IJSRP). 8(4): 393–398. doi:10.29322/ijsrp.8.4. 2018.p7655.
- Nicholas, W., Turnera, S., Subrah, M. and Sergey, A. (2009). Analytical methods for determination of mycotoxins: A review. *Anal Chim Acta* 632: 168–180. Link: https://bit.ly/3jKgw4g.
- O'Callaghan, J., Stapleton, P.C. and Dobson, A.D.W. (2006). Ochratoxin A biosynthetic genes in *Aspergillus ochraceus* are differentially regulated

by pH and nutritional stimuli. *Fungal Genetics and Biology*, 43: 213–221.

- Ogara, M., Zarafi, A., Alabi, O., Banwo, O. and Krska, R. (2017). Mycotoxin patterns in ear rot infected maize: A review comprehensive case study in Nigeria. *Food Control* 73: 1159-1168. Link: https://bit.ly/34CIsmd
- Ogiehor, I.S., and Ikenebomeh, M.J. (2006). The effect of different packaging materials on the shelf stability of garri. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 523: 2412-2416.
- Ogunledun, A. (2007). Incidence of microbial contaminants and nutrient composition of selected cocoa-based beverages in Ibadan, Nigeria. PhD thesis, University of Ibadan.
- Ojochenemi, A. D, Oku, U. P. and Anthony MH. (2019). Mutual occurrence and dietary exposure to total Aflatoxin and fumonisins in bread: a major breakfast bakery product in Nigeria. *Advanced Research in Life Sciences*. 3(1): 33–39. doi:10.2478/arls-2019-0008.
- Olsen, M., Thorup, I., Knudsen, I., Larsen, J. J., Hald, B. and Olsen, J. (1991). Health evaluation of ochratoxin A in food products. Copenhagen: Nordic Council of Ministers.
- Onyedum, S. C., Adefolalu, F. S., Muhammad, H. L., Apeh, D. O., Agada, M. S., Imienwanrin, M. R. and Makun, H. A. (2020). Occurrence of major mycotoxins and their dietary exposure in North-Central Nigeria staples. *Scientific African.* 7: 188 - 196. doi: 10.1016/j.sciaf. 2019.e00188.
- Oyelami OA, Maxwell SM, Adeoba E. (1996). Aflatoxins and ochratoxin A in the weaning food of Nigerian children. *Ann Trop Paediatr.* (2). doi:10.1080/02724936.1996.11747816.
- Peraica, M., Richter, D. and Rašić, D. (2014). Mycotoxicoses in children. *Arh Hig Rada Toksikol*. 65(4): 347–363. doi:10.2478/10004-1254-65-2014-2557.
- Pitt, J. I. (2000). Toxigenic fungi: which are important? *Medical Mycology*, 38: 17-22.

- Pittet, A. and Royer, D. (2002). Rapid, lowcost Thin Layer Chromatographic screening for the detection of OTA in green coffee. *J Agric Food Chem* 50: 243-247. Link: <u>https://bit.ly/3kHmIv8</u>
- Pittet, A., Tounare, D., Huggett, A. and Viani, R. (1996). Liquid chromatographic determination of ochratoxin A in pure and adulterated soluble coffee using an immunoaffinity column cleanup procedure. *Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry*, 44: 3564–3569.
- Pruslin, F., Winston, R. and Rodman, T.C. (1991). Caveats and suggestions for the ELISA. *J Immunol Methods* 137: 27–35. Link: https://bit.ly/35Mjpwn
- Ramos, A., Labernia, N., Marın, S., Sanchis, V. and Magan, N. (1998). Effect of water activity and temperature on growth and ochratoxin production by three strains of Aspergillus ochraceus on a barley extract medium and on barley grains. *Int J Food Microbiol* 44: 133-140. Link: <u>https://bit.ly/31RMUf6</u>.
- Rašić, D., Domijan, M., Ivic, D. and Cvjetković, B. (2009). ELISA and HPLC analysis of ochratoxin A in red wines of Croatia. *Journal of Food Control* 20: 590–592. Link: <u>https://bit.ly/34zU1KN</u>
- Robens, J. and Richord, J. (1992). Contamination and Toxicological. Journal of Environmental Contamination 127: 69-85.
- Rosa, V., Antonella, A., Carlo, G. and Francesco, P. (2008). Determination of Ochratoxin A in green coffee beans by solid phase micro extraction and liquid chromatography with fluorescence detection. *Journal of Chromatography A* 1187: 145-150. Link: <u>https://bit.ly/2HzBJRp</u>
- Salasib Atumo. (2020). A review of Ochratoxin A occurrence, condition for the formation and Analytical Methods. *International Journal of Agricultural Science and Food Technology* 6(2):180-185 doi:

https://dx.doi.org/10.17352/ijasft.

- Sanchis, V. (2000). Environmental conditions affecting mycotoxins. In: Magan, N. and Olsen, M.(eds), Mycotoxin in food detection and control. Wood Head Publishing Limited, England: CRC Press, pp 175-180.
- Santos, E. and Vargas, A. (2002). Immunoaffinity column clean up and thin layer chromatography for determination of OTA in green coffee. *Food Addit Contam* 19: 447-458. Link: https://bit.ly/3e4nYG9
- Sayed, M.A., Mohamoud, E. A. and Abouelalla, A. A. (2000). Mycoflora and natural occurrence of mycotoxin in meat of imported bulls, poultry and some meat product. *Assaults Vet Med. J.*, 43(86): 188-200.
- Scott P. (2002). Methods of analysis for OTA. Journal of Mycotoxins and Food Safety 10: 117-134.
- Sharaf, S., Moawiya, A., Haddad, F. and Parisi, S. (2020). Validation of HPLC and Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA) Techniques for Detection **Ouantification** and of Aflatoxins in Different Food Samples. 661-669 Foods 9: Link: https://bit.ly/3jz9xL7.
- Sofia, C., Angelina, P. and Celeste M. (2011). Human Ochratoxin A biomarkers from exposure to effect. *Crit Rev Toxicol* 41: 187-212. Link: https://bit.ly/2HHpAt9
- Stoev, D. and Vet, H. (2010). Toxicology. Journal of Food Science 6: 40-46.

- Takahashi-Ando, M., Ohsata, S., Shibata, T., Hamamaoto, H., Yamagunich, I. and Kimura, M. (2004). Metabolism of zearaleone genetically modified organism expressing the detoxification gene from *Clonastachys tosea*, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol*, 70(6): 3239-3245.
- Temesgen, A. and Teshome, G. (2018). Major mycotoxin, occurrence, prevention and control approach. Journal of Biotechnology 12: 1-11. Link: https://bit.ly/2HN5mhU
- Thirumala-Devi, K., Mayo, M.A., Reddy, K.S.V and Reddy, D.V. (2000). Production of polyclonal antibodies against OTA and its detection in Chiles by ELISA. J. Agric Food Chem., 48: 5079-5082
- Thomas B.T., and Ogunkanmi L.A., (2000). Ochratoxin A producing filamentous fungi in garri circulating in Ogun State. *Elixir Bioscience*. 75: 27788-27794.
- Trucksess, M., Weaver, C., Oles, C., Dovido, K. K. and Rader. J. (2006). Determination of aflatoxins and ochratoxins A in gingseg and other botanical roots by immune affinity column clean up and liquid chromatography with fluorescence detection. J. AOAC., 89(3): 624-630
- Valenta H. (1998). Chromatographic techniques. *Journal of Chromatography* 75: 211-242.
- WHO (World Health Organization), (2001).
  Report of the 56th meeting of the joint FAO/WHO expert committee on food additives. February 6–15, 2001.
  Geneva, Switzerland.